

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Canceled).

2. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 40, further comprising the steps of:

(g) (e) evolving the patient to a predetermined subsequent health state responsive to the at least one intervention, ~~the genetic information and the patient history to at least one subsequent health state~~; and
(h) (f) evaluating the user responsive to the at least one intervention input by the user, ~~and the at least one subsequent health state, and the predetermined criteria~~.

3. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 40, further comprising the steps of:

(g) (e) evolving the patient to a predetermined subsequent health state responsive to the at least one intervention, ~~the genetic information and the patient history to at least one subsequent health state~~; and
(h) ~~receiving at least one other intervention input by the user~~; and
(i) (f) evaluating the user responsive to at least one of the at least one intervention input by the user, ~~the at least one other intervention input by the user, and the at least one subsequent health state, and the predetermined criteria~~.

4. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 40, further comprising the steps of:

(g) (e) evolving the patient to a predetermined subsequent health state responsive to the at least one intervention, ~~the genetic information~~ and the patient history ~~to at least one subsequent health state~~;

(h) (f) receiving at least one other intervention input by the user;

(i) (g) evolving the patient responsive to the at least one other intervention, ~~the genetic information and the patient history~~ to at least one other subsequent health state; and

(j) (h) evaluating the user responsive to at least one of the at least one other intervention ~~input by the user~~, the at least one subsequent health state, and the at least one other subsequent health state, ~~and the predetermined criteria~~.

5. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 40 4, wherein said generating evolving step (d) (e) ~~further comprises the step of generating the patient history responsive to the test area, the genetic information, and uses~~ an entity relationship model.

6. (Original) A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 5, wherein the entity relationship model comprises population, record, agents of change, health states, findings and courses of action.

7. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 6, wherein the findings include specific findings, patterns and sub-patterns describing patient behaviors and characteristics.

8. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 7, wherein the patterns describe one or more features over time.

9. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 7, wherein the sub-patterns describe consequences of patient related events.

10. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 7, wherein the patterns model time and characterize interrelated medical observations.

11. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 7, further comprising the step of performing a differential diagnosis responsive to the findings, the patterns and the sub-patterns.

12. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 7, wherein confidence in a presence of the patterns increases with passage of time.

13. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 6, wherein the courses of action describe tasks and methods used to apply, modify, and ~~evaluation~~ evaluate health state information and characteristics described in the entity relationship model.

14. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 6, wherein the courses of action describe patient activities, including at least one of medical and non-medical activities.

15. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 6, wherein the courses of action describe potential interventions input by the user including at least one of diagnostic and management strategies.

16. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 6, wherein the courses of action comprise one or more elementary courses of action used ~~in~~ to construct at least one course of action, one or more types of elementary courses of action corresponding to the one or more elementary course of action, and weighting factors corresponding to the one or more elementary courses of action.

17. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 5, wherein the entity relationship model includes entity relations.

18. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 17, further comprising the step of evolving the patient responsive to the at least one intervention, ~~the genetic information~~, the entity relations and the patient history to at least one subsequent health state.

19. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 5, wherein the at least one intervention by the user is considered by the entity relationship model includes a health states leads to health states relation describing patient evolution in evolving the patient from a first health state to a subsequent health state.

20. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 5, wherein the entity relationship model includes one or more of the following relations between entities:

Population Contacts Population
Population Related to Population
Population Interacts with Courses of Action
Population Exposed to Agents of Change
Population Has Health States
Population Exhibits Findings
Agents of Change Cause Health States
Health States Lead to Health States
Findings Associated with Health States
Findings Link to Findings
Course of Action use Agents of Change
Courses of Action Identify Agents of Change
Courses of Action Treat Health States
Courses of Action Alter Findings
Courses of Action Reveal Findings
Courses of Action Evaluation Findings.

21. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 6, wherein the entity relationship model links the findings with the patterns to a health state, rather than linking a range of finding values to the health state.

22. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 6, wherein the patterns include sensitivity and specificity represented as age dependent, rather than as constants.

23. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 40, wherein said generating patient history step (d) (c) is executed once for each simulation to generate the patient history used in said computer implemented simulation and evaluation method.

24. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 2, further compromising the step of repeating said evolving step (g) (e), and said ~~receiving evaluating step (h)~~ (f) a plurality of times.

25. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 40, wherein said generating step (d) (c) generates the patient history comprising a progression of health states and risk factors traversed by the patient from a normal health condition to a specified health condition.

26. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 40, wherein said generating step (d) (c) iteratively generates the patient history backwards in time from a specified health condition to a normal health condition including successive precursor health states and onset times therebetween.

27. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 40, wherein said generating step (d) (c) generates the patient history using a Monte Carlo process to ~~multiple stochastic trees~~ generate a plurality of potential patient histories ~~to be used in said computer implemented simulation and evaluation method.~~

28. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 5, wherein the entity relationship model utilizes tree structures to describe a probability density function conditioned on comorbidities, treatments, risk factors, and the interventions.

29. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 5, wherein the entity relationship model includes diagnostic complexities and disease interaction.

30. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 5 40, wherein ~~the entity relationship model includes~~ parallel networks of health states are used to avoid combinatoric health state explosion model transactions that occur among a set of health conditions responsive to the at least one intervention by the user.

31. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 30, wherein the parallel networks of health states describe at least one of a chronic condition and non-chronic condition.

32. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 30 31, wherein the non-chronic condition includes acute exacerbations describing acute flares of illness that occur during a more chronic health condition.

33. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 30, wherein the parallel networks of health states form at least one of the following interactions:

- (1) independent interaction between parallel networks so that patient evolution between first and second parallel networks are unrelated to each other;
- (2) unilateral interaction between the parallel networks so that patient evolution on a first parallel network is unrelated to the patient evolution on a second parallel network, and patient evolution on the second parallel network is related to the patient evolution on the first parallel network; and
- (3) mutually dependent interaction between the parallel networks so that patient evolution between the first and second parallel networks are related to each other.

34. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 2, further comprising the step of repeating said evolving step ~~(g) (e) to the at least one subsequent health state is responsive to:~~

- (1) parallel health states of the patient; and
- (2) a target health state and health state combinations that lead to different parallel states.

35. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 30, wherein the parallel networks of health states comprise:

- (1) a primary network including primary health conditions defining a health domain;
- (2) a risk factor network including risk factors for progression through the primary network; and
- (3) complications attributed to treating the primary health conditions in the primary network.

36. (Original). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 35, wherein the parallel networks of health states are generated using the following information:

- (1) how long at least one of the risk factors exists before influencing a transition between primary health conditions in the primary network;
- (2) time required for transitions in the primary network, considering different combinations of the risk factors; and
- (3) number of transitions the patient is allowed to make between a specified health state and a normal health state.

37. (Currently Amended). A computer simulation and evaluation system for simulating interventions ~~including active and passive intervention~~ to a patient having a health state, by a user, and for evaluating the interventions ~~responsive to predetermined criteria and the interventions~~, comprising:

- a knowledge database storing patient a plurality of health characteristics including at least one of population, record, agents of change, health states, findings and courses of action;
- a presentation system providing access to the computer simulation and evaluation system by the user; and
- a patient simulation system adapted to be connectable to said presentation system and said knowledge database, said patient simulation system performing the functions:
 - (a) accessing a profile for of said user;
 - (b) defining a test area in response to said profile and selecting genetic information of the patient responsive to the test area and the knowledge database;
 - (c) dynamically generating a patient history, responsive from the database, that is tailored to the test area and the genetic information, wherein said patient history is selected from predetermined health states user profile, comprising a patient age, gender, and age of onset of medical condition, wherein the medical condition is one of a plurality of medical conditions available within the knowledge database;
 - (d) receiving at least one intervention input by the user; and
 - (e) evaluating the user responsive to the at least one intervention input by the user and the predetermined criteria.

38. (Currently Amended). A computer readable tangible medium storing instructions for implementing a process driven by a computer, the process simulating interventions initiated by a user, the interventions including active and passive interventions to a patient having a health state, and the process evaluating the interventions responsive to predetermined criteria and the interventions, the instructions comprising the steps of:

- (a) accessing the computer implemented simulation and evaluation method by the user;
- (b) accessing a profile for said user;
- (c) defining a test area to evaluate the user by the computer implemented simulation and evaluation method responsive to ~~at least one of predetermined criteria and a user profile;~~
- (d) selecting genetic information of the patient responsive to the test area;
- (e) dynamically generating a predetermined patient history responsive to the test area, and the genetic information comprising a patient age, gender, and age of onset of medical condition, extending back in time to a state of normal patient health, wherein the medical condition is one of a plurality of potential medical conditions;
- (f) receiving at least one intervention input by the user; and
- (g) evaluating the user responsive to the at least one intervention input ~~by the user and the predetermined criteria.~~

39. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method simulates interventions to a patient by a user, and evaluates the interventions responsive to predetermined criteria and the intervention, said method comprising the steps of:

accessing a profile for said user,

defining a test area to evaluate the user responsive to at least one of predetermined criteria and a the user profile,

selecting genetic information of the patient responsive to the test area,

dynamically generating a predetermined patient history responsive to the test area and the genetic information, comprising a patient age, gender, and age of onset of medical condition, extending back in time to a state of normal patient health, wherein the medical condition is one of a plurality of potential medical conditions,

receiving at least one intervention input by the user, and

evaluating the user responsive to the at least one intervention and the predetermined criteria.

40. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method for testing a user's problem solving abilities in response to a complex system, said method comprising the steps of:

- (a) accessing a profile for said user;
- (b) selecting a testing area to evaluate said user based on at least one predetermined criterion responsive to said the user's profile;
- (c) selecting epidemiological information including at least one of genetic information and environmental information of a patient responsive to said testing area;
- (d) (c) dynamically generating a patient history, responsive to said testing area, and said epidemiological information including said at least one of genetic information and environmental information, wherein said patient history comprises a predetermined set of health states comprising a patient age, gender, and age of onset of medical condition, extending back in time to a state of normal patient health, wherein the medical condition is one of a plurality of medical conditions; and
- (e) (d) receiving at least one intervention input by the user, wherein said at least one intervention includes passive and active interventions; and
- (f) evaluating said user responsive to said at least one predetermined criteria and said at least one intervention.

41. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method for testing a user's medical problem solving abilities in response to a complex system, said method comprising the steps of:

(a) dynamically generating an initial a patient history state, wherein said initial patient history state comprises a predetermined set of health states comprising a patient age, gender, and age of onset of medical condition, extending back in time to a state of normal patient health, wherein the medical condition is one of a plurality of potential medical conditions;

(b) receiving at least one intervention input by said user, wherein said at least one intervention includes passive and active interventions;

(c) evolving the initial patient history state to a predetermined subsequent patient history health state responsive to said at least one intervention; and

(d) evaluating said user responsive to said at least one intervention.

42. (Currently Amended). The method according to claim 41, wherein evolving the initial patient history state to said predetermined subsequent patient history state occurs over a finite stochastically determined time period.

43. (Original). The method according to claim 41, further comprising the step of repeating said evolving step and receiving step a plurality of times.

44. (Canceled).

45. (Canceled).

46. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method for testing a user's ~~problem solving abilities in response to a complex system said method comprises medical skills, comprising~~ the steps of:

- (a) dynamically generating multiple instances of patients, ~~representing a clinical scenario, wherein each instance of a patient has an initial patient history state comprising a predetermined set of health states, and a patient age, gender, and age of onset of medical condition, wherein the medical condition is one of a plurality of potential medical conditions;~~
- (b) evolving at least one of each instance of said patient's initial patient history state to a ~~predetermined~~ subsequent patient ~~history~~ health state;
- (c) receiving at least one intervention input by said user, wherein said at least one intervention includes passive and active interventions; and
- (d) evaluating said user, responsive to said at least one intervention.

47. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented method for evaluating a user's response to a simulated patient, said method comprising:

- selecting subject matter on which to evaluate a user;
- ~~generating a first target health state of a patient, wherein said first target health state is determined by said subject matter;~~
- dynamically generating a medical history for said patient, wherein generating said medical history comprises iterating from ~~said first target health state a current medical condition~~ backward in time through at least one precursor health state to ~~an initial a normal health state, wherein the medical condition is one of a plurality of potential medical conditions;~~
- receiving ~~from the user~~ at least one query ~~including at least one of an intervention and a request for additional information regarding the patient from said user in response to said first target health state pertaining to at least one of the current medical condition and the medical history;~~
- evolving ~~said first target health state the current medical condition~~ forward in time in response to ~~said at least one query to a second target health state the at least one input;~~ and
- evaluating said user based on ~~said at least one query at least one input from the user.~~

48. (Currently Amended). A computer implemented method for evaluating a user's response to a simulated patient, said method comprising the steps of:
accessing a user profile;
selecting subject matter on which to evaluate said user, wherein said subject matter is determined by said user profile;
generating a first target health state of a simulated patient, wherein said first target health state is determined by said user profile;
dynamically generating, responsive to said user profile, a medical history for said simulated patient;
presenting said simulated patient to said user;
receiving at least one query including at least one of an intervention and a request for additional information regarding the patient from said user in response to said first target health state;
evolving said first target health state forward in time, in response to said at least one query to a second target health state; and
evaluating said user based on said at least one query.

49 – 51. (Canceled).

52. (Currently Amended). A computer simulated method for evaluating the problem solving skills of a user, said method comprising:

selecting subject matter on which to evaluate said user from a plurality of subject matter;

dynamically generating a first problem environment, wherein said first problem environment is determined by said subject matter;

dynamically generating a history of said first problem environment, wherein generating said first problem environment history comprises iterating from said first situation problem environment backward in time through at least one precursor situation to an initial situation;

receiving at least one query including at least one of an intervention and a request for additional information from said user in response to at least one of said first problem environment and the history;

receiving medical advice from the user;

evolving said first problem environment forward in time in response to said at least one query to a second problem environment the medical advice; and

evaluating said user based on said at least one query the medical advice.

53. (New). A computer implemented simulation and evaluation method according to claim 5, wherein the entity relationship model uses first descriptors to represent entities, and second descriptors to illustrate how the entities interact.

54. (New). The system according to claim 40, wherein the medical condition is represented as a vector listing a current health condition from a parallel network respectively associated with each of a plurality of body parts.

55. (New). The system according to claim 54, wherein each parallel network lists transitions that occur among a set of mutually exclusive health conditions occurring in each body part.

56. (New). The system according to claim 54, wherein a transition from the current health condition to a next health condition occurs over a time interval as determined by a probability density functions conditioned on at least comorbidities, and a treatment comprising at least one intervention input that is provided between the current health condition and the next health condition.

57. (New). The system according to claim 40, wherein at least one instance of the patient history is stored for respective use with at least a second user.

58. (New). The method as recited in claim 40, further comprising the step of selecting epidemiological information including at least one of genetic information and environmental information of a patient responsive to said testing area.

59. (New). The method as recited in claim 40, wherein the at least one health state comprises a plurality of health states, and the at least one intervention comprises a plurality of interventions.

Ed

60. (New). The method according to claim 40, wherein the patient history is dynamically generated and the user is evaluated with respect to a multi-factorial problem.

61. (New). The method according to claim 40, wherein a plurality of parallel networks are used to implement a plurality of health states and transitions therebetween.

62. (New). The method according to claim 61, wherein at least one of the transitions is responsive to a current vector and that at least one intervention associated therewith.

63. (New). The method according to claim 62, wherein the current vector characterizes at least one parallel health state associated with at least one of the parallel networks.

64. (New). The method according to claim 62, wherein the patient history comprises a unique patient history that is usable over a plurality of evaluations.

E1

65. (New). The method according to claim 64, wherein the unique patient history and medical knowledge associated therewith are reusable over a plurality of evaluations.

66. (New). The method according to claim 40, wherein the patient history may be used for a plurality of users that are independently evaluated.